(1)

Supreme Court U.S.
FILED

06-931 JUL 11 2006

NO: OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Petitioner: Joyce Patterson-Beggs et al

V.

Respondents: Allied Broker's Inc., Home Paramount Pest Control Inc. State Farm General Insurance Inc., John Pane d/b/a Panco Service Company

---

Petition For the Hampton Circuit Court

Extraordinary Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus

Ad Faciendum Et Recipiendum

Style of case

Ghost Writing Conspiracy, Violation State wide Building Codes, Personal injury, Construction Fraud, Conflict of Interest, Due Process & Civil Right's Violations, Denial of Fair Appeal Process/ Civil Procedural/Jurisdiction

Mrs. Joyce Patterson-Beggs

312 South Willard Ave.

Hampton Virginia 23663

757-265-7784

Questions Presented -

Whether Behind the Scenes of Unbundling of Representations of many Defendants by Defense Attorneys & Ghost Writing Conspiracy, Fraud, Obstruction of Justice, Deprived a Pro 'se Litigant to suffer a severe disadvantage during Civil Litigation involving Tort, Contract law and Personal injuries, Severe Violations of the State wide Building Codes, a fair Appeal Processes, Proper Jurisdiction, under U.S.C. 28 Part IV Chapter 85 1343 by misleading the Lower Court support the Granting of a Civil Habeas Corpus .

Whether Lower Court deprives a Pro'se Litigant of Due Process of laws under the Fourteen Amendment, and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure/ Fair Appeal Process by Professional Intimidation of Lower Court Judges involved in said Complex Cases.

Petitioner's
Necessary & Indispensable Parties

1. Petitioner's Infants' Patrice Bobbitt July 1996 No Serious Physical Injuries But True Mental suffering

2. Petitioner Mental and Financial suffering of ten year's of Civil litigation.

3. Petitioner's Infants' Cornelius Bobbitt Jr. Serious Physical and Mental Suffering

4. Petitioner's Infants' Alandus Beggs Serious Physical and Mental suffering

5. Petitioner's Infants' Darrius Beggs Serious Physical and mental Suffering on Easter day 2002 after Unfair trial of Home Paramount April 24, 2001-June 2001

6. Petitioner's Necessary Party Sept-Child James Beggs II Mental Suffering

7. Petitioner's Necessary Party Step-Child Joanna Beggs

8. Petitioner's Necessary Party- Petitioner Husband James Beggs

Respondents
List of Necessary & Indispensable Parties

A list of these indispensable Parties are very necessary parties in understanding the mischief and willful misconduct of the on-going affirmative misconducts byway of Ghost Writing Conspiracy during ten years of Civil Litigation involving the Clerk of Court Office during Respondent Linda Batchelor Smith Tenure to the Proceeding in theses Complex Cases maturing from the Same Cause of Action, pending in the Hampton Circuit Court for almost Ten years as Following:

1. Kathy Gear Owens, Former Substitute Judge of the Hampton Circuit Court, while serving as a Defense Attorney for Defendant(s) John Pane, and were publicly involved in the Election and Campaign of Linda Batchelor Smith to the Clerk of Court to regain her employment to the Clerk Office after being fired by former Clerk of Court. Also a Closing Attorney for Allied Broker Inc. & Defense Attorney for John Pane. Hereinby denies Representation of Allied Broker's Inc. & Conflict of Interest, before the Bar of the Lower Court. The Sister of Former Hampton City Councilman Thomas Gear a Former Democrat, From the Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia, herein as "Gear Owens"

2. Del, Thomas D. Gear, Former Hampton City Councilman. A former member of Daily Press local forum web site by the Daily Press News Paper as "Tom". A friend of the said Respondent, and involved in her election to regain her employment to the Lower Court Clerk Office. Involved with the Unsuccessful Attempts in the Virginia General Assemble to Un-Bench the Hon. . Judge William Andrew III from Said Lower Court.

The Brother of Kathy Gear Owens, whom Campaigned Contributions Come from Defendants such as John Pane and Attorneys such as Stephen F. (Hobie) Andrews of VANDEVENTER BLACK LLP who block the Petitioner's Properly served Subpoena Duces tecum, filed properly by Deputy Clerk through mutatis mutandis, James Fullen of State Farm, the Petitioner Expert Witness & Hired Appraiser of her home in 1995 Gary Powell, And the only one who can Identify other Necessary Parties of Local Web site Forums involved in Complex Cases to include: [Web Names of Gammler, Mr. & Mrs. Reuter, 000Zack , Jon1003, FoodMrg, with plots to destroy the life of the Petitioner, and Plots to Destroy & Remove local Judges and City Officials by Professional Intimidations of his followers and supporters such as Susan Mitchell, the Clerk of Court

Campaign Manger]

hereby as" (Gear)

3. Richard W. Zhan Jr. Former Attorney of Taylor & Walker, P.C., Former Defenses Attorney for Home Paramount Pest Control Inc. Company. Who continue to represent Home Paramount Pest Control Company Inc before the Lower Court, and benefited from Said Respondents Discrimination and willful Abuse of Process " hereby as" (Zhan).

4. Brian D. Lytle, The Defense Attorney of only Realtors: Ann Pane, Carolyn Robinson, & Shirley Mara, Who have attempted to end his Participations in this pattern of Continuous ghostly Conspiracy as facts proves his clients Trusted Allied Broker Inc & John Pane, Home Paramount Pest Control Company to make proper Structural Repairs the Petitioner Home and secure proper permits from the City of Hampton under the Mayor of Hampton Mr. Jimmy Easton on behalf of the Seller a "Senior Citizens", by sending a letter to Respondent Linda Batchelor Smith the Clerk of Court Complaining his staff was use to set forth Praecipe, during the Jurisdiction of this United States Supreme Court. " hereby as" (Lytle)

5. John Pane, Owner of d/b/a Panco Service,

a diversified part of Allied Broker Inc. "hereby as" (John Pane) gave Campaign Donations to Thomas Gear his Attorney Kathy Gear Owens.

6. Allied Broker's Inc. owner John Pane "hereby as" (Allied)

7. State Farm General Insurance Inc., Insurance Company of both the Petitioner & Allied Broker Inc. & Realtors John Pane as Panco Service Company, throughinby agent James Fullen of the Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia from November 17, 1995 to 1998 & Allied Broker's Inc. Who interfered with the petition First Attorney in a investigation in bad faith to avoid "The Equal Share Clause, and Liability to the Petitioner's second Son Injuries who were injured by John W. Pane d/b/a Panco Service Co unworkman like Construction in the Garage area of the Petitioner Home, who benefited from the Willful Discrimination by the Clerk Office, Computer Fraud and Abuses of Process, Abuses of Power. Who gave their New Attorney of Records False Document to Mislead the Lower Court in 2001

8. Home Paramount Pest Control Company Inc. & Corporate Attorney Council Pilar Gracia "Hereby" as (Home Paramount) Whos Benefited From Clerical Misprisions to the point of

Painting her nails Red in the Court Room III. delaying Court Trail April 24, 2001

9. Judges of Hampton Circuit Court involvement in Complex Cases, " hereby as" (Lower Court)

10 Necessary Party Susan Mitchell: Former Member of the Daily Press Forum and other local forums member using the Names as Suebee (SUEBEE86) included in the Ghost witting Evidence 42-48 in Appendix [Noting the Web Members Garbageo Hamptonvoter, Laidback11, are not connect to said pending case]. Susan Mitchell were the Campaign Manager of Respondent Linda Batchelor Smith, also involved in Del Thomas Gear Campaign as he Crossed over the Petitioner's Republican Party, running for Delicate as a Republican in the Virginia General Assembly, covering the Phoebus Section of Hampton Virginia. Mitchell were also involved in threatening the Petitioner over local websites with-other Supporters of [Del-Tom Gear and Linda Batchelor Smith] during her election campaign and posting false Statements, of Lower Court Judges, and former Clerk of the Hampton Circuit Court and involved with the Unsuccessful Attempts to Un- Bench Hon. Judge Williams Andrew III in the Virginia General Assemble. Mitchell is also a Client of Kathy Gear Owens.

11. Mr. James Gallaragher: the Petitioner and her Infant First Attorney of ord who helped Separate Said Complex Cases, with Friendsnip to the Petitioner's Neighbors, whom are supporter of the Gear Family in the Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia. A Friend of Richard w. Zahn, as Respondent Linda Batchelor Smith Continued to send case information to his office, knowingly said Attorney Withdrawal in 1999, from Complex Cases. Especially under Respondent tenure as Deputy Clerk, who destroyed Petitioner's Complaints of Defense Attorneys & Defendants interferences with her First Attorney. Denying Judge Walter J. Ford the discretional powers to investigate Obstruction of Justice by Home Paramount, false an incomplete Contract before the Court to separate themselves from the main case under false pretends, than adding Sub-contractors. While this same Judge were handling litigation of John Pane Divorce and his Company "Allied Broker's Inc." being Represented by Attorney Kathy Gear Omens, as she was Substitute Judge during this time in the Lower Court.

Table of Contents

Opinions Below.....Page 1

Jurisdiction.....Page 1

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involve,..Page 2, 3

Statement of Case.....Pages 7

Aid to Petitioner's Appellant Jurisdiction .....Page 7

Exceptional Circumstances..... Page 11

With-out Remedies of Law.or venue'..... Page 12

Facts .....Page 17

Reason for Granting Writ of Civil Habeas Corpus.....Page 25

Conclusion..... Page 32

Index of Appendices
Filed in the United States Supreme Court

Appendix A

A-1. Petitioner's Writ of Mandamus from Virginia Supreme Court In the Virginia Supreme Court

A-2 Ghost Wring Evidence 1-71 In the Virginia Supreme Court; 1. Brain D. Complaints his Staff was used to Set Trail June 8, 2004. 2. Last Case describe as a Petitioner. 3. Shows

Petitioner Home sale was Based on Contingent 4. Kathy Gear-Owens send to Judge William Andrew Court Room October 3, 2005 5. Petitioner Filling fees of case Changed with-out a Court Order 6. 7. 8, Case Number Corrections made by Clerk Office, to Petitioner's Motion to reinstate Defendant 9 John Pane Construction Contract. 10. Petitioner's Doctor showing mental suffering of her and children. 11. Respondent John Pane Violation letter from the City of Hampton of Violation of the City of Hampton State wide Building Code October 30, 1996, 12, 13, 14 February 2, 2001 Pretrial Order granted by the Lower Court February 2, 2001 missing for Case information. 15 False Insurance Information give by Home Paramount Pest Control 16. -Court Order by Judge William C. Andrew III of Trail in Violation of the U.S. Supreme Court Jurisdiction December 8, 2004. 17. Letter showing the Petitioner paid Rent in Lexington K.Y. of 600.00 dollars a Month From August 31, 1997 before moving back into her home August 30, 2001 of Unfair trial April 24, 2001 Financially stricken. 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 Clerk Office sending Petitioner's Case information Petitioner first Attorney of Record Mr. James M. Gallagher. 23. Home Paramount Pest Control Signing the Petitioner' 92053 Form Stating that they

Removing all rotten wood from the Petitioner Home 1995 24. Petitioner First Attorney Withdrawing for said Case on March 17, 1999 Listing Brain D. Lytle as Attorney for Allied Broker Inc. and not" Lytle True Clients. 25. Letter From the Petitioner's First Attorney of Record letter in 1998 Fully aware the Lower Court Denied Lytle the Representation of Allied Broker's Inc. 26. Respondent John Pane Divorce Granted by Retired Judge, and Allied Broker's Inc. 12/07/95 Until 5/05/99 With His Attorney as Kathy Gear-Owens. 27. Petitioner's Second Attorney, Withdrawing Form Said Complex, and the Petitioners describe her hand writing notes on this Document of 11/26/05 (only to hand writing Note) Because Second Attorney Withdrew from said Cases July 6, 2000. 28. Affidavit for service of process on the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Virginia for State Farm Insurance Company as a Civil Motion of Judgment change by the clerk office as an Automobile Accident. 29, 30, 31 Home Paramount Pest Control Objections to the Petitioner's Trial Evidence Granted by the Lower Court. 32. Another Copy From Petitioner's of Mental Stress injuring the Petitioner and her Infants. 33. Case information showing Clerks office listing "Lytle" as Attorney for Allied Broker's Inc. 34. The Withdrawing of John Pane First

Attorney of Record before Conspiracy will all corrected case information. 35. Clerk Office Listing State Farm Insurance Company as a Motor Vehicle Accident. 36. Brain D. Lytle Objections to Petitioner's Subpoena duces tecum August 5, 2005. 37, 38, 39, 40, 41 Petitioner's Motion to Quash Dismissal and Protection Order filed by Kathy Gear-Owens in Last Case. 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, Communication from Local Web site. 49. Petitioner's Expert Witness Campaigning money to Thomas Gear 2002 50, Petitioner's Expert Witness Campaigning money to Thomas Gear 2004, 51. Petitioner Former Insurance agents James Fullen from State Farm Insurance giving Campaign money to Thomas Gear 2003 52, The Petitioner's Evidence giving to Lower Court to show States Farm Obstructed Justice by giving their new Attorney false information. 53-54 Shows connection of Kathy Gear Owens to Home Paramount to Allied Broker's Inc. 54-54 Shows Kathy Gear Owens sending Motion For Dismissal and Protective Order to Judge William Andrew III Court room with putting case 97-36817 and 01-305 all together. 55. Shows Kathy Gear Owens sending Motion For Dismissal and Protective Order to Judge William Andrew III Court room III using only case 97-36817. 56, 57, 58, 59 Petitioner's Notice of Appeal with Lower Court

Denying to sign her Evidence for a fair appeal process and Removing Cases from Clerk Computer. 60, 61 Plaintiffs Objections to Changed Lower Court Order. 62, State Farm Motion to Quash Service of Process 63, Judge Lerner Dismissal Order changed to benefit Del. Thomas Gear October 21, 2005. 64. Petitioner's Motions for Discovery Abuses of Home Paramount trial April 24, 2001. 65 Home Paramount Pest Control Company requesting Motion for Sanctions Granted by the Lower Court Abusing the American Rule. 66. Mr. Zahn and Home Paramount Pest Control Inc. Interference with the Petitioner's First Attorney of Record by sharing False facts of Sub-Contractor's, when First Attorney had Evidence of the Petitioner's 92053 HUD Form. 67, 68, 69 Second set of Evidence send to the Virginia Supreme Court with State Farm General Insurance Company False Insurance Document not Mention in Motion Craving Oyer of false insurance information.

71. Petitioner's Letter sent to Brain D. Lytle Complaining of Style of case used by him and addressing his request for Petitioner Phone Records.

A-3 Petitioner - First Draft of Writ of Certiorari to the United States Supreme Court 2004 of Abuses of the Hampton Clerk Office In the Virginia Supreme Court

A-4 Ghost Writing Evidence -C Petitioner's Deposition

November 24, 1999 In the Virginia Supreme Court

Appendix B

Order denying Petitioner' Writ of Civil Habeas Corpus by

Virginia Supreme Court

Appendix C

Petition Rehear in Banc filed in the Virginia Supreme Court

Appendix D

Order Denying Petitioner's Rehearing in Banc

Appendix E

1. Charles F. Luce Jr. Report [Can the Unseen Hans Be

Sanctioned] of Ghost Writing

2. VANDEVENTER BLACK LLP: A Financial Supporter of Thomas

Gear Quashing the Petitioner Properly Filed Subpoena to

undue proper delivery by New Deputy Clerk, Requested By the

Lower Court Judge.

Appendix F

Last Case Transcript Report October 21, 2005 by

Alvis & Cheesebrew, Inc.

208 Water Road , Chessaeke, Virginia 23322

Appendix of Attachments to Support Civil Habeas Corpus

Appendix G –Contributions Del. Thomas Gear Received After Lower Court Change his Order, October 21, 2005

Appendix H–Contributions to Del. Thomas Gear By VANDEVENTER BLACK LLP, After Defence Attorney Kathy Gear Owens sent Her to the Wrong Court Room to Judge Andrew III and Not Judge Louis R. Lerner Court Room II

Appendix I–Del. Thomas Gear Answers: Discovery Not Possession August 8, 2005

Appendix J–Report: Lawyers Guilty of Misleading

Appendix K–Kathy Gear Owens June 7, 2004 Signed praecipe, While Jurisdiction in the United States Supreme Court.

Appendix L–Kathy Gear Owens Signed Preacipe, While Jurisdiction were in the Supreme Court of Virginia December 11, 2003

Appendix M–Petitioner's 3 Children Injures Medical and Suffering of the Petitioner's Necessary Parties Records

1. Patrice Bobbitt–July 1996 No Serious Physical Injuries But True Mental suffering

2. Petitioner Mental and Finacial suffering of ten year's of Civil litigation.

3. Cornelius Boobitt Jr. Serious Physical and Mental Suffering

4. Alandus Beggs Serious Phtsical and Mental suffering

5. Darrius Beggs Serious Physical and mental Suffering on easter day 2002 after Unfair trial of Home Paramount April 24, 2001-June 2001

6. Nessaray Party Sept-Child James Beggs Mental Suffering

7. Nessary Party Step-Child Joanna Beggs

8. Nesscessary Party- Petitioner Husband James Beggs

Table of Authorities Cited

1. BeggsV. Allied Broker's Inc. 02-6207 U.S. (2002). Page 4..19 , 27

2. Beggs V. Home Paramount 02-5307 U.S.(2002).....4 Page 19, 26

3. Beggs V. Allied Broker's Inc. et al U S. 04M26 (2004) Page 4, 21

4. Conlet V. Gibson 355 U.S. 41, 45-46 195--Page 15

5.. Dioquardi V. Durning 139 F. 2nd 774 Ca. 19944 Page 14 15

6. Harris V. Nelson 394 U. S. 41, 45, 46, 1957 page 14

7. Johnson V. Broad of County Commissioners 10th Circuirt Court .....Page 18

9. Haines V. Kerner, 404, U.S.519 (1972) .....Page 16

10. Loving V. Virginia 388 U.S. 1 1967..... page 12

11. Laeremount-Lopez V. Southeasten Tidewater Opportunity Center 1075 (E.D. Va. 1997) page 12

12. . Mathew V. Eldride 424 U. S. 319, 96.Ct. 893 page 5, 14 30

13. Richmond V. J. Corson Company 488 U. S. 469 (1989).....Page 21

14. The Trial of Willams Penn & Magna Carta..... Page 14

Other:

1. Title 18, Part q Chapter 2520 Recovery of Civil Damages Authorized

2. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Part 11 Monetary Sanctions.

3. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedural part 42 Obstruction of Justice and or U. S. Code Title 18 Part Chapter 73 of the Following: § 1503. Influencing, § 1505. Obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies, and committees, § 1506. Theft or alteration of record or process; false bail {false sanctions against the Pro'se Litigant}, § 1509. Obstruction of court orders, § 1512. Tampering with a witness, § 1514. Civil action to restrain harassment of a victim or

witness

§ 1514A. Civil action to protect against retaliation in fraud

§ 1517. Obstructing examination of financial institution

4. National Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1986

5. U. S. Code 18 Title 28, Part IV Chapter 81 1252

6. Civil Right's Violation U. S. C. Title 28 Part IV Chapter 81, 1252

7. Federal Rules of Professional Conduct. 11

8. Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct: Scope of Representation Rule 1.2

Statues and Rules

Federal Rule Act of 1934 Giving the Untied States Supreme Court Authority to adopt Civil Rules of Civil Procedure.

Article III of the United States Constitution

U.S. Constitution Ninth Amendment

U.S. Constitution Cruel and Unusual treatment Eight Amendment

U. S. Constitution Fourteenth Amendment

S. Constitution Bill of Right's

U. S. Code Title 18 Part Chapter

U.S.C. 28 Part IV Chapter 85 1343 Civil

Federal Rule of Civil Process Violation

Equal Access to Justice Act 28 UCS 2412

Virginia constitution

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(a)

OPINIONS BELOW

The opinions of the Highest State Court to review that Last Separate Cases arising From the Same Cause of Action were (Virginia Supreme Court) reviewing case Record No: 052475 where based on the Merits and Facts, in the Petitioner's Writ of Civil Habeas Corpus appearing in Appendix A, and is unpublished along with Reply Motions. The Opinions of the Virginia Supreme Court Appears in Appendix B to the Petition and is Unpublished.

JURISDICTION

The date on which the highest State Court, being the, Virginia Supreme Court in Record No: 052475 decided my case involving the Last Separated Case pending in the Hampton Circuit Court were March 7, 2006 A timely petition for rehearing was filed on March 22. 2006 was thereafter denied June 16, 2006. The Opinions of the Virginia Supreme Court Appears in Appendix C to the Petition with the Lower Court Denying the Petitioner the Right to Appeal during Petitioner" Writ of Mandamus and Proper Jurisdiction is Unpublished and a Copy of the order denying Rehearing Appears in Appendix D.

The Jurisdiction of this court is invoked under 28 U.S.C 1257 & 28 U.S.C. Part IV Chapter 85 1343

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

1. Article 1 Section 9-2 to Support Civil Habeas Corpus& Article III of the United States Constitutions

2. Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution U

3. Usual Treatment of the Eight Amendment

4. Fourteen Amendments U. S. Constitution Equal Protection & Due Process of Law under the

5. Federal Rule Act of 1934 Giving the Untied States

6. Supreme Court Authority to adopt Civil Rules of Civil Procedure. USCA 2071 2072

7. American Rule Access to Justice Act. 28 U.S. Code 2412

8. American with Disablity Act

9. Title 18 Part 1, 1030. Fraud and related activity in connection with computers

10. Federal Rules Professional Conduct Rule 11

11. Title 18, Part 42 Chapter 2520 Recovery of Civil Sanctions.

12. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Part 11 Monetary Damges

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Extraordinary Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus

Now comes the Petitioner: a Pro' se Litigant, a Untied States Citizen, a Black Republican, a productive citizen under the Americans with Disability Act" from Hampton Virginia, to move the United States Supreme Court for a Civil Habeas Corpus to said Lower Court and all Respondents to safeguard individual freedom under the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution., against arbitrary actions of Classification Discrimination, involved in said Mutable/Numerous Complex Cases arising from the Same Transactions pursuant of Virginia Code 801.7 & Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Title 28 Part IV Rule 19.

Exceptional Circumstance

1. A Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus For a Complete Reversal of all Lower Court Judgments against the Petitioner and her Infants, due to Computer Fraud by the Clerk of Court and

Violation under Article III and the Judicial Basis by Hon. Judge Louis R. Lerner, who protected said Respondents as he were mislead. and improperly up - dated by said Respondents. and their Attorneys in bad faith. Therein Ruled Against the Petitioner in bad faith with out proper weight to said Respondent Pleading. Citing Beggs V. Allied Broker's Inc. 026207 U.S. (2002) Beggs V. Home Paramount 02-5307 U.S. (2002) and posting her Notice of Appeal as Late in case Beggs V. Allied Broker's Inc. et al U S. 04M26 (2004).

2. A continuation of Deprivation of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of adding remedy thereon to Protect Said Respondents Fraudulent Filing and Obstruction of Justice., with out addressing or solving Petitioner's real problems at Bar, throughinby Professional Intimidations by Said Defense Attorney Gear-Owens continuous statements [to Govern yourself Carefully in large Black Lettering at the end of Said Respondents Pleading] By Gear Owens, casu Lower Court to suppressing the Petitioner Evidence,, depriving the Petitioner of a fair appeal process by changing his Ruling, by ordering the Last two defendants Allied Broker and John Pane off the Court Docket after lower Court granted the Petitioner a 'Petition to

Appeal, and Changing in Order to Benefit Gear during his reelection to the Virginia General Assembly during the last of these many Complex Cases. See Attachment to Appendix G

3. To include Violations of Acts of Congress: Civil Right's, and the Equal Access to Justice Act 28 U.S.C. § 2412 by continuously ordering the Petitioner to pay the Respondents Legal bills abuse sing the American Rule. against a Pro'se Litigant who had been deprive of her Federal and State Constitutional Right's, and Freedom of her liberties by Clerical Misprisions & Respondents White-Collar Crimes. involving themselves in a Wheel of Conspiracy under the Wharton Rule byway of Official and Attorneys Misconduct to Mislead the Court. by Ghostwriting.

4. Thereof the Petitioner and her Infants seek complete reversal of Judgments of Said Respondents and Civil Sanctions for legal expenses from Attorneys involvement of misleading the Lower Court. for Fraudulent Ghost Writing Tactic under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure Part 1 Title 18 Chapter 47 Section 1001 Citing Matthew V. Eldride 424 U.S. 319, 96 S Ct. 893 (1976) by Abuse of Power, Manifest Federal Constitution Violations, Denial of the Right' to Petition, Guarantee Liberties.

5. Especially. with the Last Two Respondents Allied Broker's Inc. & John Pane and Defense Attorney Kathy Gear Owens Continuation of "Behind the Scenes Ghost Writing Conspiracy" thereinby Obstruction of Filing. Obstruction of Justice. Violating many Federal Rules of Civil Procedure with the Participation of the Lower Court Abusing their Discretion Under Article III. due to threats of Professional Destruction & Professional Intimidation and setting this last case for trial during the Jurisdiction of this United States Supreme Court. Depriving the Pro'se Litigant of her Civil Right's. and Due Process. Fundamental Right's under the Virginia and United States Constitution by Ghost Writing Conspiracy.

6. Therefore. said Gear Family continued to dominate the Lower Court by Professional Destruction/ Professional Intimidation towards the Lower Court Judges involved with Said Complex Cases. to protect and conceal Severe Interference of the Petitioners First Attorney of Record Mr. James M. Gallagher. and to conceal the lower court Ruling by Retired Judge Walter J Ford. which denied Defense Attorney Brain D. Lytle. Representation of Respondent Allied Broker's Inc. to avoid Conflict of Interest. because, Respondent John

Pane, is the True Owner of Respondent Allied Broker Inc. Factual Evidence located in Index of Appendix A, Ghost Writing Evidence 25 26, and Petitioner's Pleading during Motion Hearing October 21. 2005, located in the second part of Appendix E.

7. The Petitioner Respectfully prays that a Habeas Corpus Pursuant to Article 1 Section 9-2 of the U.S. Constitution which states:

8. "The privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in Cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it" as written by the framers of the United States Constitution who are considered Black Law Attorneys, studying under the Black law Commentary, with the important of Mega Carta, encoded in Civil laws to settle legal disputes adopted by our Forefather, with many forms of Habeas Corpus to include Ad Faciendum Et Recipiendum. Also called a Habeas Corpus cum causa.

Aid to Petitioner's Appellant Jurisdiction

9. Now comes a time in our Society to consider a Civil Habeas Corpus in wake of Seditious Conspiracy to undermine

the Rule of Law against Classes of Citizens, being deprived of Federal Constitutional Right's, Fundamental Fairness, and of Liberties, by ongoing affirmative misconduct and misfeasance in Public Offices, By willful and wanton misconduct indifference to the safety of others by said Respondents which involved themselves in a Seditious Compacts of Citizens From the Phoebus Section of Hampton Virginia, who do not believe in Social Justice nor Equal Right's under law in this "Era of Classificationnaal Discrimination". Giving the U S. Supreme Court the Authority to Grant a Civil Habeas Corpus Under Federal Rule Act of 1934 giving this Court the authority to adopt Rules of Civil Procedure. under USCA 2071 & 2072

10. A Compact of Citizens who has undermined the Rules and Laws of Our Beautiful Growing Historical Town of Hampton Virginia, due to Political hatred from pass election. Starting with the leadership Of Former Mayor "The Honorable "Mr. Jimmy Easton". Growing into a Secret Compact to aid and abate against classes of citizens hated due to their Political Beliefs.

11. The Petitioner were unaware of the Political Hatred for the former Mayor Jimmy Easton, a Conservative Democrat,

until finding the Daily Press Web site as the only way to Communicate with people from her hometown of Hampton in 1998 while being displaced from her home due to Faulty Construction.

12. The Petitioner were unaware she were Communicating with members from the Phoebus section of Hampton until calling Thomas Gear who gave Web Members his number to call if anyone were having problems on or around December 2000. Joining this Local Web forum around the same time his sister, Kathy Gear Owens officially signed on as Attorney for John Pane & Allied Broker's Inc. Who have denied Representation of Allied Broker before the lower Court Bar. Knowingly she represented John Pane and his Granted Company of Allied Broker's Inc. by Same Retired Judge during Pane's Devoice Proceeding. from 1995-1998 Most importantly, while the same Judge were Handling the Petitioner Complex Cases involving John Pane and Allied Broker's Inc.

13. The Petitioner had on Ideal she was communicating with indispensable parties connected to Said Respondents and possible their Attorneys until receiving a threat by Mitchell over a Local web forum. during the Clerk of Court Election in

2003, Mitchell, the Campaign Manager of the Clerk of the Hampton Circuit Court., which force the Petitioner to stop her Local Communications on said website. Reading and watching helplessly as said Indispensable parties potted to destroy the Professional lives of Judges and key members of the Hampton City Government, with many calling their actions as "Code Red".

14. Therefore this United States Supreme Court will be an Aid to the Appellant Jurisdiction to better Identify Professional Ghost Writing and lawlessness and Arbitrary Abuses of Power, bring forth an end to Professional Intimidation of Judges and liberate effort to mislead lower Courts Involving Civil Litigation and Fraudulent Act ivies of Title 18 Part 1 chapter 47 section 1001: Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully: 1) falsifies, conceals. or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact; (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry; shall be fined

under this title or imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both.

Will Support Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus

Ciliv Writ of Habeas Corpus
Exceptional Circumstance

15. Our Society and the Appellant Jurisdiction has been slow In realizing the Magnitude Of Classificational Discriminations by a Compact of Seditious Conspirators byway of Ghost Writing and Professional Intimidation in our Court Systems, which has deprive the Petitioner, a Black Republicans, "a productive citizen under the Americans with Disability Act", of the basic right's to an Attorney with out interference, by Professional Ghost Writing, and willful misuses of Contingency fees to aid and abate. Causing Judicial Activism byway of misleading the Court of Public Justice with Professional Intimidations by Official Misconduct, can only be corrected and address by this United States Supreme Court in Granting Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus to Address Federal Violations Under the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

16. Along with, other Violations of Constitutional Right's cited in this Extraordinary writ of a Civil Habeas Corpus can only be corrected in Civil Case when lower courts

Abuses it's Discretion, by making void the Federal and State Constitutional Right's & Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. and Acts of Congress. which Injured the Petitioner and her Infants, and the Petitioner's Necessary Parties to include the Petitioner's Husband and the Petitioner's two step-children by ten years of Litigation Contrary to the Rule of Law. causing unnecessary suffering. due to her Political Status as a Black Republican. Under Minding the Dream of Martha Luther King and the Civil Right Act.

17. The Abuses under the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution. bring forth the need for this Court to visit the Black Law Commentaries and many Chapters of Mega Carta for Safe Guarding American Civil Laws Systems, in the wake of Classification Discrimination, growing Unethical Behaviors of Attorneys byway of Professional Ghost Writing. using the Unseen hands of Clerical Misprision of Fraudulent Activities as suffered by the Petitioner.

With-OUT REMEDIES OF LAW

18. Writ -out a Civil Habeas Corpus, the Petitioner can only describe the denial of her Guarantee Liberties with Manifest Constitutional Violations as a form of Civil Terrorism

and Willful disparagement, by said Respondents involvement in Ghost Writing Conspiracy against a Pro'se Litigant, who already service at a Disadvantage before the Bar. Which deprived the Petitioner and her infants of Constitutional Right's under the Bill of Rights, Grantee Liberties, fundamental fairness, by Clerical misprision of willful fraudulent Activities to mislead the lower Court supported by the Evidence enclosed in the Petitioner Index of Appendixes file in the United States Supreme Court.

19. In Brown v. Vasquez, 952 F.2d 1164, 1166 (9th Cir. 1991), the 9th Circuit observed that the Supreme Court has "recognized the fact that a Writ of Habeas Corpus is the fundamental instrument for safeguarding individual freedom against arbitrary and lawless state action.

20. The Petitioner Evidence enclosed in her Index of Appendix filled in this Court, clearly shows the lawlessness suffered by said Respondents Actions in Bad Faith involving themselves in a Wheel of Conspiracy and Fraudulent Conspiracy, to mislead the Court of Public Justice under the Wharton's Rule of Conspiracy, with severe interference with the Petitioners and her Infants first Attorney of Record, and Severe Conflict of

Interest to cover Said Respondents Violations of the Statewide Building Code, Construction Fraud, Personal Injury to the Petitioner Infants, interference of the Petitioner Employment,

a. With no action taken by Petitioner's first Attorney of Record, to protect the Petitioner and her Infants.

21. Suffering a miscarriage of Justice, with severe Violations to the American Rules as the Lower Court sanction the Petitioner to paid Respondents Legal Bills as Cruel and very Unusual Punishment of excessive fines during ten years. Therefore, injuring Petitioner and her Infants warrants the need of a Civil Habeas Corpus, being discriminated against and socially suppressed by Official Misconduct,

22. Leaving the lower Court action as Arbitrary. Citing the Famous Trial of William Penn., Harris v. Nelson, 394 U.S. 286, 290-91 (1969). "Citing Conlet V. Gibson 355 U.S. 41". 4546 1957 Dioguardi V. Durning, 139 F.2d 774 (CA 1944) Civil Rights Act Status 13, 42 United States Code 1983, with Severe Violation under the Rules of Civil Procedure & Criminal Procedure, Citing Conlet V. Gibson 355 U.S. 41. 45-46 1957 Dioguardi y. Durning, 139 F.2d 774 (CA 1944) & Ghost Witting

Conspiracy under U.S.C. 28 Part IV Chapter 85 1343 Civil rights and elective franchise.

23. In Harris V. Nelson this United States Supreme Court quoted on page 291 of it opinions" Therefore, the writ must be "administered with the initiative and flexibility essential to insure that miscarriages of justice within its reach are surfaced and corrected. Along with U.S.C. 28 Part IV Chapter 85 1343 Civil rights and elective franchise a) The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action authorized by law to be commenced by any person:

24. To recover damages for injury to his person or property. or because of the deprivation of any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States. by any act done in furtherance of any conspiracy mentioned in section 1985 of Title 42; (2) To recover damages from any person who fails to prevent or to aid in preventing any wrongs mentioned in section 1985 of Title 42 which he had knowledge were about to occur and power to prevent; (3) To redress the deprivation. under color of any State law. statute, ordinance. regulation. custom or usage. of any right. privilege or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of

Congress providing for equal rights of citizens or of all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States; (4) To recover damages or to secure equitable or other relief under any Act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights.

25. Will also Support the Petitioner request to Gant a Civil habeas Corpus in said Complex Cases. As the Petitioner as Pro'se who suffer from Complex Dyslexia has to the best of her ability described the deprivation suffered by said Respondents. Citing Haines v. Kerner, 404. U.S. 519. 1972

26. The Petitioner as Pro'se Respectfully prays that a Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus Ad Faciendum Et Recipiendum also know as habeas corpus cum causa be granted to said lower Court said Respondents Under Article 1 Section 9-2 of the U.S. Constitution which states:

27. "The privilege of the Writ of Habeas Corpus shall not be suspended, unless when in Cases of Rebellion or Invasion the public Safety may require it" as written by the framers of the United States Constitution who are considered Black Law Attorneys, studying under the Black law Commentary. with the important of Mega Carta, encode in Civil laws to settle legal disputes, adopted by our Forefather, with many forms of

Habeas Corpus.

Facts

28. These Cruel and very Unusual Acts by way of Ghost writing Conspiracy, on behalf of said Professional Attorneys and Respondents with the help of the Clerk Office to mislead the Lower Court for over ten years and deprived the Pro'se Litigant of Due Process & Equal Right's of Fourteen Amendment, & the Ninth Amendment and Unusual Punishments under the Eight Amendment Of the U.S. Constitution, thereinby Ghost Writing Tactics in retaliation of Petitioner "Fight for Justice". Beacause of serioous physical injures to 3 of infants and Mental & Financially Suffering to the Petitioner's For 4. To include Petitioner's Necessary Parties [2 Step-Children and Petitioner's Loving Husband " as necessary Parties for a Complete true Picture how the Petitioner Family suffered from ten years of Unnecessary Civil litigation in Bad Faith.

29. Especially the Petitioner's Oldest Son who was called to Preach at the age of 12 in June 1997, .a growing football star in the Phoebus Section of Hampton Virginia, who fell through rotten wood walking out of his bedroom June 1997 and undergoing Reconstruction Surgery to his Large Toe & Foot area

and ligaments to his Foot .

30. It is very clear from the Behaviors State Farm and Home Paramount producing Fraudulent Insurance Documents byway of Affidavits & Fraudulent Activities of Court Orders were Allegedly used to abuse Contingency Fees in bad Faiths to Control of the Lower Court Judges byway of Intimidations. And to concealing the Severe Interference of the Petitioners First Attorney of Record Mr. James M. Gallagher, while Petitioner and her infants were Discriminated against because of her political affiliation, with the help of the Clerk of Court Office with willful and wanton disparagement towards the Petitioner.

31. Respondents allegedly plotted to separated said Complex Cases arising out of the same cause of actions, by interfering with the Petitioner first Attorney shows a continuous patterning of negative networking, with powerful Friendship of Indispensable Parties, from the Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia to conceal Respondents Willful and Wanton Violation of the State Wide Building Code by Allied Broker's Inc.. Home Paramount Pest Control Inc. & John Pane. trading as Panco and injuries to the Petitioner's Infants & Property. Depriving them of their right to Happiness and Liberties, with-out Due Process.

32. Especially with States Farm Investigation in bad faith 1998, receiving over 360 pages of Discovery through in by the Petitioner First Attorney of Record, with prima fascia evidence of Allied Broker and John Panes trading as Panco Fraudulent Behaviors, and Violation of the State Wide Building Code with out sending the Petitioner a copy of Production of Documents. At the time the Petitioner and Her Infants were suffering mentally and financially. Paying Rent and Mortgage and Large legal bills, suffering a Mysterious Cancellation of her Homeowners1998 by State Farm agent Mr. James Fullen from the Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia.

33. Depriving the Petitioner and her Infants and Her Necessary Parties of the Fundamental Right's to happiness, property and Guarantee Liberties and the Right's to have an Attorney without interference, which injured all by unnecessary suffering by Cruel and Unusual Behavior under the Eight Amendment of the United States Constitution that were destroyed by clerks Office during First appeal in November 11 2001. Citing Beggs. Allied Broker's Inc. 02-6207 U.S. (2002) & Beggs V. Home Paramount 02 5307 U.S. (2002)

34. With the Lower Court Clerk office helping to conceal

the True Clients of Brian D. Lytle [Realtor Ann Pane, Petitioner's Realtor Mrs. Carolyn Robinson, and the Seller Realtor Shirley Mara] under a Duel Contract by Allied Broker's Inc., when New Judge of the lower Court took over these complex case, were clearly a part the Respondents and said Defense Attorney Conspiracy to mislead the Lower Court for Ten years, which continued with the Last Respondents of these Complex Cases.

35. Violations of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Criminal Activities continues with the last two Respondents (Allied Broker's Inc. Owner John Pane_ & John Pane Owner of Panco Service, a diversified part of Allied Broker's Inc., in which were set for trial during the Jurisdiction of this United States Supreme Court, with threats of Professional Destruction/ Professional Intimidation to the Lover Court Judges.

36. February 2, 2004 Respondent Kathy Gear Owners put Forth a Praecipe, Stating Case is mature for trial, while in the Jurisdiction of the Virginia Supreme Court. Hon. Williams C. Andrew III Denied _Respondent Kathy Gear Owners, _Allied Broker's Inc. &_John Pane Praecipe, as the Petitioner, Explained, said Case was in the Jurisdiction of the Virginia Supreme Court, as he returned the Bench in Hampton Circuit

Court, after an unsuccessful attempted By Del Tomas Gear, the Brother of Kathy Gear Owens to remove this Judge..

37. Said Ghost Writing Conspiracy continued with the Clerk Office showing Hon. Judge Louis R. Lerner Granting Owens' Second Praecipe in Direct Jurisdiction of the United States Supreme Court, with-out neither the Presence of Said Respondents nor her Staff. not giving Petitioner time to Prepare for Trial in Lower Court, and Prepare her Appeals pending in the. Citing Beggs V. Allied Broker's Inc. et al U. S. record 04M26 2004. Depriving the Petitioner of a Fair Appeal Process & Jurisdiction, using the Staff of Brian D. Lytle to set this case for trial with this Supreme Court having true Jurisdiction.

38. All Respondents Violating Virginia Rules of Court, and the Federal Rules of Civil Process, involving Criminal Activity under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and Violating Acts of Congress, because of her political affiliation. Richmond V. J. Corson Company 488 U. S. 469 (1989) support a Special Civil Habeas Corpus

39. Especially. Clerk of Court Office committing fraud thereinby Computer Systems, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Title 18 Part 1. 1030. and with her Campaign Manager [Necessary Party Susan Mitchell" using the Local web site to continuously adding more Major Players in said Ghost Writing Conspiracy to intimidation of Judges, with the help and involvement of unidentified web site said Necessary Parties, as "Mitchell" threaten the Petitioner on line, while campaigning for "Smith" the Clerk of the Lower Court", who continued her roll in Ghost writing Conspiracy.

40. It very clear from the Lower Court Clerk office changed the correct information enter by her Duty clerk, to reinstate Respondent John Pane pursuant to Judge Williams C. Andrew III order December 8, 2004, by changing Case 9736817 to 97-36817-01. With out a Court Order and Describing said New Case as a Petition, depriving the Petitioner of the Fourteen Amendment of the United States Constitution.

41. Along with Hon. Judge Louis R. Lerner, changing his Ruling of last Motion Hearing October 21, 2005, which only Benefited, Del. Thomas Gear, whom Crossed over to the

Petitioner's Republican Party, while his Sister Kathy Gear Owens served a Substitute Judge of said Lower Court in allegedly time of 1995-2000, and a Closing Attorney for Allied Broker Inc., and a Defense Attorney for Respondent John Pane. Creating a Severe Conflict of Interest, arguing to the Lower Court she did not Represent Allied Broker's Inc. nor did she have a Conflict of Interest, violating the Canon laws and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 11 of fraudulent pleading before the lower Court.

42. Therefore Allied Broker's Inc remains unrepresented showing that the Lower Court abused its Discretion by Ordering said case removed from the Lower Court Docket.

43. A Continuation of activities in Bad Faith, and Abuses of Discretion dating back to January 9, 2001 of these Complex Cases, with Respondents, Defense attorneys Brain D. Lytle, Cathy Gear Owens and Richard Zhan intimidations to the Lower Court, throughinby Oral Arguments, Letters, a False Statements in pleading, and Fraudulent activities of Written Court Orders, depriving the Petitioner from seeking Discovery, and Proper Jurisdiction, and a Fair Trial April 24, 2001, while Del. Tomas Gear, served as a Hampton City Councilman, a Democrat From

the Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia, who was very nice to her, as he joined local website by the Daily Press New Paper, and posted his number for citizens to call, to report and problems they was having before trial date April 24, 2001. The Pro 'se called and request Gear to Attend an Inspections. Neither other City Councilman, nor, Gear Attended said inspection.

44. Weeks before trail, Respondent Home Paramount Pest Control Inc., produced False Insurance Documents by Lower Court Orders, requested by the Petitioner during discovery, shows forth Conspiracy to conceal the Severe interference with the Petitioner first Attorney who help Separate said Respondent, with the factual truth, [Respondent. Home Paramount signed the Petitioner's 92053 HUD Form, stating that they have removed all rotten wood from the Pro'se Home] and hiding Insurance funds of his representations of Respondent Home Paramount, than adding Sub contractor who were not licenses by the States Virginia, [that were clearly hired after Home Paramount signed the Petitioner's HUD forms].

45. The Retired Judge were clearly intimidated, because the Lower Court also raised the Petitioner Ad Damnum for

added damages for continuations of suffering, and Petitioner's infant reconstructive surgery and paying rent and mortgage, and the up keep of the Petitioner's Home with unsound structure while displaced from her Home.

46. Said Ad Damnum were never added by Clerk of Court office until case was over in all Jurisdiction, after many Motions before the Court, as Zahn Avoided writing Court Orders until days before Trial as, Zahn blocked all elements of the Petitioner's evidence, and mysteriously granted by the Lower Courts a Continuous action of Ghost writing Conspiracy, with the Clerk of Court office not notarizing Retiring Judge of Motion Hearing after trial causing the Retired Judge to miss his first Motion hearing during his tenure on the Lower Court Bench, with the Clerk of Court fraudulently show that said New Judge help with Motion before Trail April 24, 2001.

47. Only Del. Thomas Gear, benefited from the lower Court Mysterious Court Order whom received a large Campaign Contributions from the Petitioner's Republican Party in the amount of 47,000.00, after October 21, 2005 in the Lower Court, while the Petitioner and her Infants suffer through deprivation of injustice, Due Process of Laws and Fundamental

Fairness of the Appeal Systems, was being greatly discriminated against, by all Respondents and indispensable.

48. Additionally, Hon. Judge Louis R. Lerner had a legal Obligation to Protect the Rule of Law in these Complex Cases, during his tenure on the Bench of the Hampton Circuit Court, in which he trusted Respondents basis statements without Evidence and undermining Petitioner' research for over six years in these Complex Cases before him, by Granting said Respondent Motions for Protection Order, Summary Judgments and other Litigation _with -out Respondent producing factual Evidence to support their Pleading.

49. Especially, denying the Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration in Cases 97-37341. with factual evidence with all the elements to prove Violation of Hampton States Wide Building Codes, Contract Fraud & Construction fraud, Personal injuries of the Petitioner Infants, with Respondents Richard W. Zhan Citing Beggs V. Home Paramount 02-5307 U.S.(2002), Violation of the Federal Rules Civil & Criminal Procedures, Violating Court Orders. Citing Beggs V. Home Paramount 025307 U.S. (2002),

50. ReplacingStateFarmGeneralInsuranceCompanyFalse

Insurance Documents filed in the Lower Court by Motion Craying Oyer byway of an Affidavit by State Farm General Insurance Legal Department, with the Petitioner's Correct and True Insurance Documents she wanted to show as Evidence of Obstruction of Justice, and false facts, of it's Demurrer before the Lower Court in case 01-305. Citing Beggs V. Allied Broker's Inc. 02-6207 U.S. (2002).

51. Depriving the Petitioner of a fair Appeal Process, as she tried to explain this type of Obstruction to Justice to a Panel of Justices in her first appeal in Case No: 12831 April 3, 2002, in the Virginia Supreme Court, involved in these Complex Cases.

Reason Granting Writ

52. The Pro'se litigant as Petitioner, has exhorted all remedies of Law in these Complex Case with Said Manifest Constitutional Violation & Fraudulent Activities of Ghost Writing Conspiracy against a Pro'se litigant, Studying the Laws of Virginia, Torts, Contract Law and Constitutional law by Self-Apprenticeship with-out the help of an Attorney for seven years on or Around August 10, 2000, after Respondent States Farm, severely Interference with Petitioner's First Attorney Gallagher

Small Law Office.

53. Mr. James M. Gallagher filed Said Case 97-36817 July 28, 1997, than advised said Petitioner and her infants to follow Doctors Advise to move her Infants out of Said Home with Unsound Structure to prevent any more injuries to said Infants, the same day all Respondents Home Paramount, John Pane & Allied Broker's Inc., Failed Final Inspection on the same day of Filling said Civil Law Suit July 28, 1997, turning said Actions into Construction Fraud. Leaving the Petitioner to paid Rent and Mortgages with Severe Mental and Finically Suffering.

54. The Petitioner were unable to pay second Attorney of Record 150.00 an hour, suffering a Mysterious injury from her job "When her Black Unite Manger Mopped Company floor with an Un-Authorize Produc "pine sol" on the Petitioner Lunch Break, during the same week, her Second Attorney of Record in 1999, began to seek Discovery on behalf of the Petitioner and her infants for the first time in three years of theses complex cases pending in the lower Court.

55. While paying rent in the State of Kentucky and Mortgage on her in Hampton Virginia left the Petitioner no choice but to take over said complex cases involving Severe

Violation of the State Wide Building Code, Failure to secure as Permits from the City of Hampton byway of Construction fraud, Torts, Personal Injuries with Punitive damages. August 10, 2000 Pro'se Litigant as Petition officially took over these Complex Cases with the Lower Court permission and successful argue increase of Ad and increase.

56. Most Importantly the Pro'se Litigant, research in 2005, shows the Virginia States Bar were Condemning the Unethical Acts of Ghost Writing to protect all Parties legal mater before the Court which states: Lawyers practices in Virginia must follow the New Rules of Professional Conduct: Starting January 1. 2000, lawyers practicing in Virginia must follow the new Rules of Professional Conduct. Rule 1.1. States:

57. A lawyer shall provide competent representation to a client. Competent representation requires the legal knowledge, skill, thoroughness and preparation necessary for the representation. and Rule 1. 2,

58. This report was published by Report by James M. McCauley an Ethics Counsel from Richmond Virginia, included in the Appendix A & E. shows the Petitioner Suffer the Revise affects of Unbundling and Ghost Writing by fraudulent activities

on behalf of all Respondents, especially With the Petitioner First Attorney Mr. James M. Gallagher and Brain D. Lytle Continues usages of John Pane's Former Attorney Richard Y. Atlee after he Withdrawer from Said Cases, after Respondent States Farm Investigation in Bad faith and

Interference with Said First Attorney.

59. With the Lower Court Clerks office Removing _Defense Attorney Lytle true Clients, Ann W. Pane, Shirley Mara, and the Petitioner Realtor Carolyn Robinson, with-out a Court Order, Caused the unbundling of Legal Representation of these Complex Cases of 97-36817 & 01-305 & 97-37341. Defense Attorney Lytle & the Lower Court Judge Louis R. Lerner, blocked the Petitioner Motion for Clarification to Identify "Lytle" True Clients Ann W. Pane, Shirley Mara & Carolyn Robinson, and blocked the Petitioner from receiving Discovery, by Sanctions and False Arguments by "Lytle", [that the Petitioner did not Produce Discovery.] With Lytle being Granted a Protection Order, with "Lytle" Abuses of Court in writing false Court Order and signing it in Places of Retiring Judge to benefit all Respondents case 97-36817 March 19, 2001. Citing Matthew V. Eldride 424 U.S. 319, 96 S Ct. 893.

60. Hon. Judge Louis R. Lerner protected Lytle Fraudulent Actions by Signing this Order 2003, depriving the Petitioner of Produce Evidence of the 360 pages of Discovery send to him by her First Attorney of Record, during State Farm Investigation in bad Faith in 1998, and Documents Filed with the Petitioner Amended Motion of Judgment, along with Discovery the Petitioner send to him on time, With said judge not correcting "Lytle" Written Order, giving himself the Right's to the Petitioner Phone Records, which Retired Judge Ruled: January 9, 2001 [Petitioner's Phone records are not relevant in this Civil Case at Bar].

61. Most importantly, With "Lytle" Stated on his Written Corut Order [the Lower Court denied Plaintiffs Protection Order], could have been dangerous and misused by Defense Attorneys and Said Respondents involvement in said Ghost Writing Conspiracy, and behind the scene unbundling of proper legal Clients Representations to mislead the Lower Court.

62. These types of abuses and fraudulent actions of Writing Orders Rendered by the lower Court Judges were Abued by said Defense Attorneys and Said Respondents, only played as a tool

Obstructed Justice by Ghostly conspiracy, in violating the Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct & Federal Rules of Civil Procedures Rule II, were at all times, [unethically and contemptuously], pure acts of fraudulent behavior against a Pro'se Litigant who are already servers at a great disadvantage and injured the Petitioner.

63. These types of Abuses can only be address by the United States Supreme Court, by a Civil Habeas Corpus, with a complete revisal of said Complex Cases giving Judgment to the Petitioner and her infants, as this court fine suitable and Civil Sanctions Against said Officer of the Court Attorneys for their Unethical Behaviors.

Conclusion

Therefore, the Petition for Writ of Civil Habeas Corpus should be Granted

Appendix I

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF HAMPTON, PART III

Joyce Patterson-Beggs, et al Plaintiff,

v. CASE NO. 97-36817

Allied Broker's Inc. , et al Defendant.

ANSWER TO ATTORNEY ISSUED SUPBOENA DUCES TECUM

Comes Thomas D. Gear, pro se, and for his answer to the Attorney Issued Subpoena by Plaintiff, Joyce Patterson-Beggs, states as follows: That he has no documents, papers, letters, e-mails and/or faxes regarding this case in his possession.

Signature Thomas D. Gear

State of Virginia City of Hampton, to-Wit:

This day personally appeared before me, a Notary Public in and for the City and State foresaid, Thomas D. Gear and rnade oath that the statement made hereto is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Given undmy hand this 8th day of August 2005 Notary Chandelle Solomon Commission Expires 11/30/06

Appendix J

The Courier Mail

Lawyer guilty of misleading CMC

Jade Bilowol .

August 31, 2006 04:05pm

Article from: AAP

A PROMINENT lawyer has been found guilty of deliberately misleading Queensland's crime watchdog during its probe into corruption in the Gold Coast City Council elections.

Lawyer Anthony William Hickey, 50, of the Gold Coast suburb of Mermaid Beach, was today convicted and fined $6,375 in the Brisbane Magistrates Court for making false or misleading statements to the Crime and Misconduct Commission (CMC).

Magistrate Noel Nunan also ordered Hickey to pay $3,500 in costs incurred by the CMC.

The court was told Hickey gave the CMC a deliberately misleading document in April last year about a trust fund, used by developers to bankroll "like-minded" candidates who, if elected, would provide a majority vote on the council.

Prosecutor Tony Rafter said the document from Hickey's law firm Hickey Lawyers, which administered the Lionel Barden trust fund, failed to reveal to the CMC the fund had been set up by councillors David Power and the late Sue Robbins.

The move effectively masked the extent of their involvement in the scandal.

The court was also told Robbins and Power had been the "primary movers" behind the establishment of a pro-development bloc within the scandal-hit council.

The document gave a misleading impression that Lionel Barden, a Gold Coast businessman, was in control of donations throughout the duration of the trust, Mr Rafter told the court.

Magistrate Nunan said he was not convinced by Hickey's argument he was trying to help the CMC by creating the "composite trust account statement".

"He was being disingenuous and if he had thought the CMC was some lumbering inefficient bureaucracy, and perhaps sometimes it is, he was wrong this time," Mr Nunan said.

He said the offence seemed a "complete aberration" given Mr Hickey's "excellent character", his successful business and his strong support of charities including the Salvation

Army.

"However, he is an experienced, mature solicitor who should have known better," Mr Nunan said.

http://www.news.com.aulcouriermaiVstory 10,23739,20314997 - 952,00.html

Pages 1

9/5/2006

Defense lawyer Terry Martin argued a conviction should not be recorded against his client because it could impact on his business and 85 staff, as well as his involvement with charities and a prestigious Cold Coast high school.

Gold Coast Deputy Mayor David Power is also accused of misleading the CMC over candidate funding during the 2004 election.

Queensland Newspapers, all times AEST (Gmt+).

Appendix K

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF HAMPTON, Part II

Joyce P. Patterson Beggs, and Cornelius Bobbitt Patterson an infant, by Joyce P. Patterson-Beggs, his next friend, Plaintiffs

V. Law No 97-36817

Allied Broker's Inc. a Virginia Corporation and John W. Pane d/b/a Panco Service Co. et als Defendants

Praecipe

I certify that the above-styled case is matured for trial on its merits and request the Clerk to Place it on the Docket to be called on June 7, 2004 at 10:00 a.m. to be set for trial with-out a jury

Dated this 10th day of May, 2004.

JOHN W. PANE d/b/a PANCO SERVICE CO..

By Signature of Counsel Kathy Gear Owens

Kathy Gear Owens

47 West Queens Way

Hampton, Virginia 23669

757-722-2272

Fax 757-722-9238

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Praecipe was this day mailed, first class, postage paid to Joyce P. Patterson-Beggs, 312 South Willard Ave., Hampton Va. 23663 this 10th day of May, 2004

Signature Kathy Gear Owens

Appendix L

VIRGINIA: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE CITY OF HAMPTON, PART

JOYCE P. PATTERSON-BEGGS and .

CORNELIUS BOBBITT PATTERSON, and infant, by Joyce P.

Patterson-Beggs, his next friend, Plaintiffs,

v. LAW NO. 97-36817

ALLIED BROKERS, INC., a Virginia Corporation and

JOHN W. PANE d/b/a Panco Service Co., et als, Defendants.

PRAECIPE

I certify that the above-styled case is matured for trial on its merits and request the Clerk to place it on the docket to be called on February 2, 2004 at 10:00 a.m. to be set for trial without a jury.

Dated this 11th day of December, 2003.

JOHN W. PANE d/b/a PANCO SERVICE CO..

By Signature Kathy Gear Owens

Kathy Gear Owens .

Kathy Gear Owens
47 West Queens Way
Hampton, VA 23669
(757) 722-2272
FAX (757) 722-9238

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Praecipe was this day mailed, first-class, postage paid to, Joyce P. Patterson-Beggs, 312 South Willard Ave 23663 this 11th day of December, 2003

Signature Kathy Gear Owens

Appendix M

Petitioner Medical Records of Her 3 Infants Injuries Produced As Exhibit 1 At Oral Hearing
Cornelius Bobbitt Jr.
Alandus Beggs
Darrius Beggs Who Injuries A are not filed with said cases Easter day of 2002 as Kitchen floor Gave Way, causing his Injuries

Appendix N

Two Videotapes As Exhibit 2 During Oral Argument

Appendix Of Attachments
G-N

Appendix G

This evidence shows the Del. Thomas Gear revieving Conpaing Contritbutions of 47. 000.00 After the Lower Court Changed it last Ruling to Benifit Defence Attorny Brother Thomas Gear From the Phcobus Section of Hapmton Virginia. As Clerk Office Decribe Petitioner's Last Cases as a Petition with new case Nunbers with-out a Court Order.

Vpap.Org 2005

Gear For Delegate

Donor: Dominion leadership Trust

Frederickburg, Virginia

Occupation: Republican Leardership /Candidate Committee

Amount 7,000 11/10/05 Cash

Amount 40,000.00 10/28/05

Contributions data for thes committee arc current through June 30, 2006, for report filed on July 15, 2006. The 2005 cycle for theis committee starts January 1, 2004 and ends Decccmber 31, 205

Appendix H

Evidence of Abuse of Power if Appendix F by Stephan F (Hobbie) Andrews is Related to Judge William Adrews III, as to why Kathy Gear Owens sent Her Motions to his Court Room, as a Civil Threat to said Judge to Avoid Continued Professional Intimidation by the Gear family. of Phoebus section of Hampton Virginia. With out Family ties of the Andrew family, this Evidence will show a Conflict of Interest.

Vpap.Org

Donor: Vandeventer Black LLP (North, Va. Candidate/

Comminttee: Class of 2002 Souths (TPAC, R)

donations for year 2006

1 donation totaling $ 1, 000.00 Amount 1,000.00 Year 2006,

Transaction Date 9/21/2006

http://www.vpap.org/donors/resuits _level13. cfm?fmke